

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
AFFLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,283		01/27/2004	Bal Ram Singh	08387-002003 37	
26161	7590	08/22/2006		EXAM	INER
FISH & R	CHARDS	SON PC	KAM, CH	KAM, CHIH MIN	
P.O. BOX 1	022				
MINNEAP	OLIS, MN	I 55440-1022	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1656	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/766,283	SINGH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chih-Min Kam	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 July</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1.7.17-26 and 30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.7.17-21.25,26 and 30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🛛 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/27/04</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/766,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group III, claims 22-24 without traverse in the Response to Restriction Requirement, filed July 24, 2006 is acknowledged. Claims 1, 7, 17-21, 25, 26 and 30 are non-elected invention and withdrawn from consideration. Therefore, claims 22-24 are examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 22-24 are directed to a method of treating a patient who is suffering from a disease or condition associated with excessive release of acetylcholine from presynaptic nerve terminals, the method comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective amount of a polypeptide complex comprising a botulinum toxin and more than one botulinum toxin type E neurotoxin associated polypeptide. While the specification discloses type E botulinum toxin exists in a complex that includes the toxin and five other polypeptides termed neurotoxin associated proteins (NAPs) such as NAPs having molecular weights of approximately 80, 65, 40

and 18 kDa, and a method of treating patients who suffer from a disease or condition associated with excessive release of acetylcholine from presynaptic nerve terminals by administering to the patient a therapeutically effective amount of a polypeptide complex that contains botulinum toxin (or other serotype toxin) and more than one NAP, e.g., one or more the 80, 65, 40, and 18 kDa NAPs, and/or the 118 kDa neurotoxin binding protein (NBP; pages 2-3), it does not identify any fragments or variants of NAPs that have the same function as full length of NAPs with molecular weights of 80, 65, 40 and 18 kDa. The specification only discloses the 18 kDa polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO:1, the 40 kDa polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO:2, the 65 kDa polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO:3, the 80 kDa polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO:4, and the full length of the 18 kDa polypeptide being SEQ ID NO:5 (pages 14-17), and the NAPs protect the toxic activity of botulinum toxin form heat (pages 18-19), it does not describe a genus of variants for botulinum toxin type E neurotoxin associated polypeptides in the complexes, and the use of these complexes in the claimed method. A few species of type E NAPs (the polypeptides having 80, 65, 40 and 18 kDa) do not provide written description for a genus of variants of type E NAPs. Without guidance on the structure to function/activity relationship for various type E NAPs, one skilled in the art would not know which domain in the NAPs is essential for the function, and which NAP fragment or variant is functional. The lack of description on the structure to function/activity relationship for type E NAPs in the complexes used for the claimed methods and the lack of representative species as encompassed by the claims, applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise terms that a skilled artisan would not recognize applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,283 Page 4

Art Unit: 1656

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

4. Claims 22-24 are indefinite as to what result a therapeutically effective amount of the

polypeptide complex would produce in the treatment. The claims are also indefinite because of

the use of the term "excessive release of acetylcholine", it is not clear what extent of release the

term refers to, and what disease or condition that is associated with excessive release of

acetylcholine from presynaptic nerve terminals. Claims 23-24 are included in this rejection for

being dependent on a rejected claim and not correcting the deficiency of the claim from which

they depend.

5. Claims 22-24 are indefinite because the claims are dependent from a non-elected claim,

claim 1.

Conclusion

6. No claims are allowed.

Art of Record

Aoki et al. (WO 95/17904) disclose a method of treating a condition related to cholinergic controlled secretions including excessive sweating, lacrimation and mucous secretion, or a method of treating smooth muscle disorders such as spasms in the sphincter of the cardiovascular arteriole, gastrointestinal system, urinary, gal bladder and rectum, by

Art Unit: 1656

administering to a patient suffering from the disorder a therapeutically effective amount of botulinum toxin serotypes B, C, D, E, F and G (page 4, line 29-page 5, line 7), e.g., the use of botulinum toxin type E to treat tardive dyskinesia (Example 1©), spasmodic torticollis (Example 2(d)), essential tremor (Example 3©), spasmodic dysphonia (Example 4©). However, Aoki *et al.* do not disclose the use of a polypeptide complex comprising botulinum toxin type E and botulinum toxin type E neurotoxin associated polypeptide.

Singh *et al.* (J. Protein Chemistry 14, 7-18 (1995)) teach a botulinum toxin type E neurotoxin binding protein has been purified as a 118 kDa protein, which can interact with type E neurotoxin to form a complex as shown in gel filtration, where the neurotoxin binding protein can protect the neurotoxin from adverse pH, temperature and proteolytic condition (abstract). However, Singh *et al.* do not teach the polypeptide complex comprising botulinum toxin type E and more than one botulinum toxin type E neurotoxin binding protein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

Primary Patent Examiner

Primary PATENT EXAMINER

CMK

August 17, 2006